



Some Applications of the Pseudoinverse of a Matrix

Author(s): T. N. E. Greville

Source: *SIAM Review*, Vol. 2, No. 1 (Jan., 1960), pp. 15-22

Published by: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2028054>

Accessed: 05/09/2008 11:20

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=siam>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SOME APPLICATIONS OF THE PSEUDOINVERSE OF A MATRIX¹

T. N. E. GREVILLE²

1. INTRODUCTION

IN A PREVIOUS NOTE [1] attention was called to the notion of the pseudoinverse of a rectangular or singular matrix introduced by E. H. Moore [2, 3] and later rediscovered independently by Bjerhammar [4, 5] and Penrose [6]. It is the purpose of the present note to point out two specific applications of the pseudoinverse.³ Among other possible uses not discussed here is its application to bivariate interpolation.⁴

As a preliminary it will be useful to redefine the pseudoinverse. An $m \times n$ matrix A of rank $r > 0$ can be expressed as a product⁵

$$(1) \quad A = BC,$$

where B is $m \times r$ and C is $r \times n$, and both are of rank r . Then the pseudoinverse of A is given by⁶

$$(2) \quad A^\dagger = C^T(CC^T)^{-1}(B^TB)^{-1}B^T,$$

where the superscript T denotes the transpose. To complete the definition, we define the pseudoinverse of a zero matrix as equal to its transpose.

It will be noted that, for the particular cases $n = r$ and $m = r$, (1) reduces to $A = BI$ and $A = IC$, respectively, and (2) therefore reduces to (1) and (2) of [1]. Equation (2) can therefore be written in the form

$$A^\dagger = C^\dagger B^\dagger.$$

The various properties of the pseudoinverse as given in [1] are now easily derived. In particular it will be convenient to recall three of these: (i) the pseudoinverse is unique, (ii) for a nonsingular matrix it reduces to the ordinary inverse, and (iii) $(A^\dagger)^\dagger = A$.

2. POLYNOMIALS ORTHOGONAL OVER DISCRETE DOMAINS

In a recent note [8] Dent and Newhouse have described a recursive procedure for obtaining orthogonal polynomials over a discrete domain,⁷ making use of a

¹ Received by the editors January 6, 1959 and in revised form September 30, 1959.

² Office of the Quartermaster General, Washington, D. C.

³ A more elementary presentation of these applications (with a numerical example) was given in a paper [7] presented at the 118th Annual Meeting of the American Statistical Association, Chicago, Ill., December 29, 1958.

⁴ This possibility was suggested to the writer by William Hodgkinson, Jr., of the American Telephone and Telegraph Co.

⁵ To prove this choose B as a matrix whose columns form a basis for the column-space of A . It follows that B is $m \times r$, and that there exists an $r \times n$ matrix C such that $A = BC$. Both B and C are of rank r since the rank of a product does not exceed the rank of any factor.

⁶ This definition was suggested to the author by A. S. Householder. It represents an improvement on that given in [1], which was essentially Moore's.

⁷ The same general problem has also been treated by Forsythe [9] and Barker [10].

method of matrix inversion by means of submatrices given by Fraser, Duncan, and Collar [11]. We shall point out certain advantages that result from a modification of their procedure involving the introduction of the pseudoinverse.

Given n distinct abscissas x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let y_i denote a given ordinate corresponding to x_i , and consider the problem of fitting a polynomial of degree $k < n$. It can be shown [9] that there is a unique polynomial $f(x)$ of the required degree which provides the best fit to the given ordinates in the sense of least squares. Let $q_j(x)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, be a sequence of known polynomials such that $q_j(x)$ is of proper degree j . In general, we shall be interested in the case $q_j(x) = x^j$. It is evident that $f(x)$ has a unique representation in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j q_j(x),$$

where the coefficients c_j are to be determined. Let y denote the vector whose elements are the given ordinates, and let Q_k , $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, denote the $n \times (k + 1)$ matrix $(q_{j-1}(x_i))$. Parenthetically we remark that the matrix S_{k+1} of Dent and Newhouse is $Q_k^T Q_k$. The least-square fitting problem may now be restated as the problem of finding the vector d_k which is the "best" solution of the matrix equation

$$Q_k d_k = y$$

in the sense that the length of $y - Q_k d_k$ is a minimum. Bjerhammar [4, 5] and Penrose [12] have shown that this solution is

$$d_k = Q_k^\dagger y.$$

Since $k < n$, the columns of Q_k are linearly independent. Thus, taking $B = Q_k$ and $C = I_{k+1}$, the identity matrix of order $k + 1$, in (2) gives

$$(3) \quad Q_k^\dagger = (Q_k^T Q_k)^{-1} Q_k^T.$$

We note that $Q_k^\dagger Q_k = I_{k+1}$, while $M_k = Q_k Q_k^\dagger$ is the smoothing matrix [13] which gives the fitted ordinates in terms of the given ones, since

$$M_k y = Q_k d_k.$$

We note also that the sum of the squared differences between the given ordinates and the fitted ones, which Forsythe denotes by δ_k , is given by⁸

$$\delta_k = y^T (I - M_k) y.$$

Now, let q_k denote the last column of Q_k and consider the vector $p_k = q_k - M_{k-1} q_k$. Since $Q_{k-1}^T p_k = 0$ by (3), we see that p_k is orthogonal to every column of Q_{k-1} . Moreover, its elements are ordinates (corresponding to the abscissas x_i) of a polynomial $p_k(x)$ of proper degree k . For $k < n$, $p_k(x)$ is uniquely determined by these n ordinates, while q_n is necessarily a linear combination of the columns of Q_{n-1} , so that $p_n = 0$, and therefore

$$p_n(x) = h \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i),$$

⁸ See [9] for explanation of the use of these quantities in judging the degree of polynomial best suited to the given data.

where h is arbitrary. The polynomials $p_k(x)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, are an orthogonal set over the discrete domain x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . If the $q_k(x)$ are monic polynomials (in particular, if $q_k(x) = x^k$, the $p_k(x)$ are the same polynomials found by Dent and Newhouse. Otherwise, they differ at most by a constant factor.

We have

$$p_k = q_k - Q_{k-1}a_k$$

where

$$a_k = Q_{k-1}^\dagger q_k$$

is the same as the A_k of Dent and Newhouse. Thus, if a_{ki} , $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, are the elements of a_k ,

$$(4) \quad p_k(x) = q_k(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{ki}q_i(x)$$

as given by them.

To recapitulate, if we can find a convenient method of obtaining the pseudo-inverses Q_k^\dagger for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, then the problem is practically solved, for:

$d_k = Q_k^\dagger y$ gives the coefficients by which the fitted polynomial of degree k is expressed in terms of the known polynomials $q_i(x)$.

$M_k y = Q_k d_k$ gives the ordinates of the fitted polynomial corresponding to the given ordinates.

$y^T(I - M_k)y = y^T(y - Q_k d_k)$ gives the sum of the squared residuals when a polynomial of degree k is fitted by least squares.

$a_k = Q_{k-1}^\dagger q_k$ gives the coefficients by which $p_k(x)$, the orthogonal polynomial of degree k , is expressed in terms of the known polynomials $q_i(x)$ in accordance with (4).

$p_k = q_k - Q_{k-1}a_k$ gives the ordinates of $p_k(x)$ corresponding to the given abscissas.

3. MULTILINEAR REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS

Let a variate y depend on n variates $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$, and let it be required to determine the coefficients a_j in the regression equation

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x^{(j)}.$$

It is assumed that corresponding numerical values $y_i, x_i^{(j)}$ are given for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If y denotes the column-vector whose i th component is y_i , a the column-vector whose j th component is a_j and X the matrix $(x_i^{(j)})$, the regression coefficients are given by

$$(5) \quad a = X^\dagger y.$$

If the columns of X are linearly independent,⁹ as will usually be the case, the least squares regression equation is unique. Otherwise, there will be many solutions which yield the minimum value for the sum of the squared residuals.

⁹ Linear dependence would indicate that at least one of the variates $x^{(i)}$ is completely determined by the remaining ones, and therefore redundant.

Of these possible solutions, (5) then gives the one for which the sum of the squares of the coefficients a_j is smallest.

From (5) it follows that the vector

$$y' = Xa = XX^T y$$

gives the values of the variate y predicted by the regression equation, while

$$y^T(y - y') = y^T(I - XX^T)y$$

is the sum of the squares of the residuals.

4. RECURSIVE ALGORITHM FOR THE PSEUDOINVERSE

Let a_k denote the k th column of a given matrix A , and let A_k denote the submatrix consisting of the first k columns. As previously pointed out by Dent and Newhouse, there are substantial advantages in using a recursive procedure for obtaining A_k^\dagger from A_{k-1}^\dagger . In fitting a polynomial this makes it unnecessary to decide in advance the degree of polynomial to be fitted, or to start over from scratch if an unfortunate choice is made. Instead, one fits polynomials of successively higher degree and can stop when it appears that the most suitable degree has been reached. Though the advantage is less clear-cut in the regression application, one can attempt to arrange the variables in decreasing order of their probable importance in the regression equation, and can note how much the coefficients change as the less significant variables are introduced, and, if desired, the reduction at each step in the standard error of estimate.

In order to derive the desired recursive procedure, let us consider A_k in the partitioned form

$$(6) \quad (A_{k-1} \quad a_k),$$

and similarly partition A_k^\dagger in the form

$$A_k^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} B_k \\ b_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplication then gives

$$(7) \quad A_k A_k^\dagger = A_{k-1} B_k + a_k b_k.$$

As shown in [1], $A_k A_k^\dagger$ is symmetric, and also, when used as a left multiplier, it leaves unchanged any matrix with columns in the column-space of A_k . It follows that, as a right multiplier, it leaves unchanged any matrix with rows in the transposed column-space of A_k . Now [1, p. 40], A_{k-1}^\dagger has rows in the transposed column-space of A_{k-1} (which is contained in that of A_k). Therefore

$$A_{k-1}^\dagger A_k A_k^\dagger = A_{k-1}^\dagger.$$

By similar reasoning, $A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1}$ as a left multiplier leaves unchanged any matrix with columns in the transposed row-space of A_{k-1} . Now, since A_k^\dagger has columns in the transposed row-space of A_k , a moment's reflection will convince

the reader that B_k (as a submatrix of A_k^\dagger) has columns in the transposed row-space of A_{k-1} (submatrix of A_k). Therefore,

$$A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1} B_k = B_k.$$

It follows that multiplying (7) on the left by A_{k-1}^\dagger gives

$$A_{k-1}^\dagger = B_k + A_{k-1}^\dagger a_k b_k.$$

Thus we may write

$$(8) \quad A_k^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k-1}^\dagger - d_k b_k \\ b_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(9) \quad d_k = A_{k-1}^\dagger a_k$$

and b_k remains to be determined.

From (6) and (8) we obtain

$$(10) \quad A_k A_k^\dagger = A_{k-1} A_{k-1}^\dagger - A_{k-1} d_k b_k + a_k b_k = A_{k-1} A_{k-1}^\dagger + c_k b_k,$$

where

$$(11) \quad c_k = a_k - A_{k-1} d_k.$$

Multiplying (11) on the left by A_{k-1}^\dagger and making use of (9) and the relations given in [1], we obtain

$$(12) \quad A_{k-1}^\dagger c_k = 0.$$

Now the rows of A_{k-1}^\dagger are in the transposed column-space of A_{k-1} , and, in fact, they span that space (since the equation $A_{k-1} A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1} = A_{k-1}$ shows that A_{k-1}^\dagger is not of lower rank than A_{k-1}). Therefore (12) shows that c_k is orthogonal to the column-space of A_{k-1} .

It is necessary now to consider two cases, according to whether $c_k = 0$ or not. From (11) we see that $c_k = 0$ implies that a_k is in the column-space of A_{k-1} : in other words, A_k and A_{k-1} have the same rank. Let us first deal with the case $c_k \neq 0$, and let us consider the matrix

$$(13) \quad P_k = A_{k-1} A_{k-1}^\dagger + c_k c_k^\dagger.$$

Now, (11) shows that c_k is in the column-space of A_k , and it follows that c_k^\dagger is in the transposed column-space of A_k . It follows from (13) that the rows of P_k are in the transposed column-space of A_k . From (2), taking $B = c_k$ and C as the identity matrix of order one, it is easily verified that c_k^\dagger is a scalar multiple of c_k^T , and that

$$c_k^\dagger c_k = 1.$$

Further, multiplying (11) on the left by c_k^\dagger and making use of the fact that c_k is orthogonal to the column-space of A_{k-1} gives

$$c_k^\dagger a_k = 1,$$

and it follows from (13) that

$$P_k a_k = A_{k-1} d_k + c_k = a_k$$

by (11), while we observe also that

$$P_k A_{k-1} = A_{k-1}.$$

Thus, (6) shows that

$$P_k A_k = A_k.$$

We see then that P_k has both the properties which uniquely determine the left identity matrix [1, p. 39] of A_k , and therefore

$$(14) \quad P_k = A_k A_k^\dagger.$$

From (13), (14) and (10) we see that

$$(15) \quad c_k c_k^\dagger = c_k b_k,$$

since both are equal to $A_k A_k^\dagger - A_{k-1} A_{k-1}^\dagger$. Multiplying (15) on the left by c_k^\dagger gives

$$(16) \quad b_k = c_k^\dagger.$$

Turning now to the case $c_k = 0$, (11) shows that we then have

$$(17) \quad a_k = A_{k-1} d_k.$$

Let G_k denote the submatrix of $A_k^\dagger A_k$ obtained by deleting the last row and the last column. Then it follows from (8) and (6) that

$$G_k = A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1} - d_k b_k A_{k-1}.$$

The first term of the right member is symmetric [1, p. 39], as is also G_k , being a principal minor of a symmetric matrix. It follows that $d_k b_k A_{k-1}$ is symmetric. Since $b_k A_{k-1}$ is a one-rowed matrix, this implies¹⁰ that

$$(18) \quad b_k A_{k-1} = h d_k^T,$$

where h is some scalar.

From (8), (6), (17) and (18) we have

$$(19) \quad A_k^\dagger A_k = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1} - h d_k d_k^T & \\ h d_k^T & \end{pmatrix} (I \quad d_k).$$

Now, (9) shows that d_k is in the column-space of A_{k-1}^\dagger , which is the transposed row-space of A_{k-1} . It follows that

$$A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1} d_k = d_k.$$

Thus (19) becomes

$$A_k^\dagger A_k = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k-1}^\dagger A_{k-1} - h d_k d_k^T & d_k - h d_k d_k^T d_k \\ h d_k^T & h d_k^T d_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

¹⁰ If $d_k = 0$, then (since $c_k = 0$), (11) implies $a_k = 0$. Equating the last row of $A_k^\dagger A_k$ to the transpose of its last column gives $b_k A_{k-1} = 0$, so that the conclusion still holds.

In view of the symmetry of this matrix and the fact that $d_k^T d_k$ is a scalar, we have

$$(h d_k^T)^T = h d_k = d_k - h(d_k^T d_k) d_k,$$

and solving for h gives¹¹

$$(20) \quad h = (1 + d_k^T d_k)^{-1}.$$

Since the rows of A_k^\dagger are in the transposed column-space of A_k , b_k is in that space, which, in this case is identical with the transposed column-space of A_{k-1} . Thus $b_k A_{k-1} A_{k-1}^\dagger = b_k$, and therefore multiplying (18) on the right by A_{k-1}^\dagger gives

$$(21) \quad b_k = h d_k^T A_{k-1}^\dagger.$$

On substituting (20) this gives

$$(22) \quad b_k = (1 + d_k^T d_k)^{-1} d_k^T A_{k-1}^\dagger.$$

The desired recursive procedure for obtaining A_k^\dagger from A_{k-1}^\dagger then consists in applying formulas (9), (11), (16) or (22), and (8), in that order. In order to initiate the process, we note that A_1^\dagger is a zero vector if a_1 is a zero vector; otherwise it can be computed from (2).

5. "STREAMLINED" ALGORITHM FOR STATISTICAL APPLICATIONS

For the purpose of statistical applications, some "streamlining" of the algorithm can be effected by noting that in these situations it is unnecessary to obtain the pseudoinverse explicitly. Rather, what is wanted is the "best" (in the sense of least squares) solution $x = A^\dagger \alpha$ of an inconsistent system $Ax = \alpha$. The algorithm can be modified to give $A_k^\dagger \alpha$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ successively. To this end it is convenient to define a matrix A' obtained by enlarging A through the addition of two columns on the right: (i) the vector α and (ii) a total column, which is the sum of all the preceding column vectors. Then (8) gives

$$(23) \quad A_k^\dagger A' = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k-1}^\dagger A' - d_k(b_k A') \\ b_k A' \end{pmatrix}.$$

The penultimate column of this matrix is $A_k^\dagger \alpha$, while the final column should be the sum of the preceding column vectors if the arithmetic has been correctly performed. Moreover, (9) shows that d_k is the k th column of $A_{k-1}^\dagger A'$.

In order to obtain $b_k A'$ for use in (23) we must first compute the right member of (11). If this vector vanishes, (22) shows that

$$(24) \quad b_k A' = (1 + d_k^T d_k)^{-1} d_k^T A_{k-1}^\dagger A'.$$

If (11) does not vanish, it gives c_k , and, in view of (16) and (2), we have

$$(25) \quad b_k A' = (c_k^T c_k)^{-1} c_k^T A'.$$

If we first compute the vector $c_k^T A'$, we note that its k th element is $c_k^T a_k$. Multiplying (11) on the left by c_k^T and noting, as previously shown, that c_k is

¹¹ Provided $d_k \neq 0$. If $d_k = 0$, (21) shows that $b_k = 0$, and (22) still holds.

orthogonal to the column-space of A_{k-1} , we obtain $c_k^T c_k = c_k^T a_k$. It follows from (25) that $b_k A'$ is obtained from the computed vector $c_k^T A'$ upon "normalizing" it by dividing by its k th element. With these explanations, (11), (25) or (24), and (23) constitute the recursive procedure desired.

REFERENCES

1. GREVILLE, T. N. E. *The pseudoinverse of a rectangular or singular matrix and its application to the solution of systems of linear equations*, this Review, 1 (1959), pp. 38-43.
2. MOORE, E. H. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (1920), pp. 394-395.
3. ———, *General Analysis*, Part 1, Mem. Amer. Philos. Soc., 1 (1935), especially pp. 197-209.
4. BJERHAMMAR, A. *Application of calculus of matrices to method of least squares; with special reference to geodetic calculations*, Trans. Roy. Inst. Tech. Stockholm. No. 49 (1951), pp. 1-86.
5. ———, *Rectangular reciprocal matrices with special reference to geodetic calculations*, Bull. Géodésique 1951, pp. 188-220.
6. PENROSE, R. *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 51 (1955), pp. 406-413.
7. GREVILLE, T. N. E. *The pseudoinverse of a rectangular matrix and its statistical applications*, Amer. Statist. Assoc., Proc. Soc. Statist. Sect., 1958, pp. 116-121. The numerical example is given only in the mimeographed version, available from the author.
8. DENT, B. A. AND NEWHOUSE, A. *Polynomials orthogonal over discrete domains*, this Review, 1 (1959), pp. 55-59.
9. FORSYTHE, G. E. *Generation and use of orthogonal polynomials for data fitting with a digital computer*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., 5 (1957), pp. 74-88.
10. BARKER, J. E. *Use of orthogonal polynomials in fitting curves and estimating their first and second derivatives*, NPG Report No. 1553, NAVORD Report No. 5138, First Revision, U. S. Naval Proving Ground, Dahlgren, Va., June, 1958.
11. FRAZER, R. A., DUNCAN, W. J., AND COLLAR, A. R. *Elementary Matrices*, Cambridge, 1938.
12. PENROSE, R. *On best approximate solutions of linear matrix equations*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 52 (1956), pp. 17-19.
13. GREVILLE, T. N. E. *On smoothing a finite table: a matrix approach*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., 5 (1957), pp. 137-154.